UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,590	03/24/2004	Mark Girard	10123/04201	3798
30636 FAY KAPLIN	7590 02/05/2008 N & MARCIN, LLP		EXAMINER DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
150 BROAD\	WAY, SUITE 702			
NEW YORK,	NY 10038		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/807,590	GIRARD ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
Ÿ	MATTHEW F. DESANTO	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
 a)		in the final rejection, wh	ichover is leter. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire l	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by	it hoforo or on the data of Elice . At	Alas of Angerstoom				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. \square The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

because:

13. Other: ____.

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

1

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The examiner has read through applicant's remarks, but is still not convinced. The examiner disagrees with the interpretation of the claimed subject matter.

According to MPEP section 608.01(o) The meaning of every term used in any of the claims should be apparent from the descriptive portion of the specification with clear disclosure as to its import; and in mechanical cases, it should be identified in the descriptive portion of the specification by reference to the drawing, designating the part or parts therein to which the term applies. Since the first and second portions are claimed and lack the descriptive portion of the specification by reference to the drawings and reference numbers, the examiner gave an objection. Since the applicant failed to fix this objection the examiner maintains his objection.

The examiner understands that a Y is not an F, but the applicant fails to understand the full scope of the claim. The applicant is reading limitations into the claim since the claim fails to fully describe the letter F shape via the claim language. The claims never recite two parallel lumens intersecting a perpendicular lumen or catheter to form an F shape. Instead the language reads two "substantially" parallel lumens with no discussion of the orientation of intersecting lumen or catheter. Therefore the applicant is reading limitations into the specification and the examiner maintains his rejection.

MATTHEW F. DESANTO PRIMARY EXAMINER